The Convergence of Financial Risk Management and Corporate Finance

Muadh Alhusaini

05 December 2024

Integrating financial risk management (FRM) into corporate finance has become crucial amid today's unpredictable economic climate. Debt arrangement and capital structuring are deeply connected to the strategic direction and investment goals of a business. FRM plays a key role by offering the tools and insights needed to maintain stability while actively seizing growth opportunities with an eye on maximizing profitability and value.

I talk here about how FRM transforms debt arranging and capital structuring. Embedding FRM into these financial decisions can build resilience, thrive in volatile markets, and achieve long-term goals.

The Strategic Importance of Debt Arranging

Debt arranging has become more than the task of raising capital. It involves designing liability structures that will meet an organization's strategic vision while minimizing financial risks.

FRM completes the concept of debt arranging by adding tools and methodologies to deal with major challenges. First, each business must learn how much debt it can carry without financial danger. For instance, a mid-sized manufacturing firm with SAR 500 million in annual revenues may have a debt-to-equity ratio of 1.5. Stress testing against events like a 20% fall in sales due to economic slowdown would help the firm to service debt in bad times. The stressing of items that the company cannot control, like interest rates, is perhaps at least equally important.

The trade-off between on- and off-balance sheet financings is a very strategic issue. On-balance-sheet financing, like loans or bonds, is more transparent for those using the statements while generally having lower financing costs due to the full credit profile of the firm backing this type of financing. The drawbacks include increasing financial ratios such as debt-to-equity, tying up liquidity, and thereby affecting potentially sensitive credit ratings, which dampens future flexibility.

Off-balance sheet financing, through mechanisms such as operating leases or special purpose vehicles (SPVs), allows companies to tap into capital while preserving major financial metrics. This might be particularly appealing for industries where strong balance sheets are highly valued, but it also often involves higher costs and risk scrutiny for perceived complexity.

The balance depends on the objectives of the company. Companies that give priority to long-term transparency and trust can apply on-balance sheet financing, while companies prioritizing short-term flexibility will prefer off-balance sheet solutions.

We also have to remember that effective maturity structuring reduces liquidity risks. The maturity profile of loans should be analyzed against the cash flows generated by funded assets. Businesses should ensure that debt maturities coincide with predictable income streams to avoid a liquidity shortfall. Running multi-track processes of refinancing, debt restructuring, and consolidation enables a company to pursue different options toward meeting the maturing obligations favorably.

FRM tools and stressing techniques, such as Value at Risk (VaR), enable companies to structure debt in a way that meets both short-term needs and long-term stability.

FRM tools and stressing techniques, such as Value at Risk (VaR), enable companies to structure debt in a way that meets both short-term needs and long-term stability.

Balancing Leverage and Risk

The capital structure indicates the underlying risk profile and growth opportunity of every firm. A well-studied mix of equity and debt will result in optimized financial flexibility and maximum shareholder value.

High leverage escalates risk in a market downturn, as was witnessed in 2008. For instance, Lehman Brothers had a leverage ratio of 30:1, which made it highly exposed and thus collapsed in the volatility shock. At the same time, companies with underleveraging of capital miss growth opportunities. A balanced approach reduces exposure while ensuring sufficient funding for growth. Therefore, we typically apply those techniques that solve for the optimal leverage range for a given investment plan and strategic direction, taking into consideration risk tolerance and appetite.

The Weighted Average Cost of Capital (WACC) is the key indicator of a company's capital structure cost efficiency. For instance, a tech firm with 13.6% WACC, whose cost of equity is 16% and whose cost of debt is 8%, would potentially achieve savings on overall financing costs by using an optimal mixture of equity and debt. Because debt is generally cheaper than equity, increasing the level of debt in the capital structure would lower the WACC so long as risks associated remain at tolerable levels.

For example, the tech firm has an opening capital structure of 70:30 with a WACC of 13.6%. However, when it becomes 60:40, with the relatively cheap debt component being much greater in total, the WACC has fallen to 12.8%, although at a rising price for extra financial leverage with added default chances during periods of market stress or economic depression.

Against such risks, the firm must execute robust stress tests to determine whether forecasted shortfalls in its revenues or some shock increases in interest rates might jeopardize debt-servicing capacity. Reducing the exposure further through scheduled debt repayment coinciding with predictable cash flows and by also maintaining a sufficient equity cushion can ensure that leverage by use of this relatively low-cost debt doesn't threaten its financial soundness, though this allows the business to benefit from the advantages debt brings by facilitating faster than organic growth.

Scenario analysis helps firms model financial stress. For instance, a retail company that has SAR 300 million in debt could model a 15 percent decline in consumer spending and/or unexpected interest rate shocks on the higher side with assigned statistical probability. If, through this model, it determines that cash flow coverage for debt service (DSCR) falls below a pre-identified threshold, the company can go ahead and pre-emptively change its structure or hedge. Interest rate exposure can be managed with various derivatives, such as interest rate swaps or caps. For example, if a company wants to hedge against interest rate volatility for SAR 200 million of outstanding floating debt in an interest rate swap hedge contract, it would simply lock in the current swap rate and not experience a loss (or gain) from fluctuations.

Failure Case: The Collapse of Carillion

The UK construction giant Carillion's collapse illustrates the catastrophic impact of poor financial and risk management:

- Carillion accumulated over £1.5 billion in debt, with a dangerously high debt-to-equity ratio exceeding 27:1, leaving it vulnerable to financial shocks.
- The company carried £900 million in short-term borrowings due within a year, creating a refinancing cliff it could not manage during tightening credit conditions.
- Aggressive bidding on low-margin contracts led to £845 million in write-downs, exacerbating liquidity issues.
- Despite a £2.6 billion pension deficit, Carillion prioritized dividends over pension contributions, worsening its financial fragility.

These failures highlight the critical need for effective FRM practices, including better debt structuring, refinancing strategies, and risk mitigation measures, to ensure long-term stability.

The Future of FRM in Corporate Finance

It's interesting to see that the current role of FRM is transforming toward structuring debt and capital optimization more dynamically, offering higher precisions to meet present financial challenges. AI and machine learning can also revamp traditional practices in business and transition from static models of risk to real-time analytics. The resulting paradigm shift enables the estimation of more realistic financial risks, including interest rate fluctuations, credit rating downgrades, or market volatility, in which firms can make effective decisions promptly.

Source: World Intellectual Property Organization, PATENTSCOPE

As this happens, integrated platforms, which tie together FRM and corporate finance advisory, are fast becoming the game-changer. By furnishing an organization-wide picture of the state of the treasury's financial health, such a system allows seamless alignment between the structuring of the debt and corporate goals. By mining into millions of global market data, machine learning algorithms will pinpoint unfamiliar patterns in risk, such as the linkage of asset-class sensitivities with interest rate shifts. With such insight, firms can then make conscious decisions to readjust debt maturity, hedge exposures, or restructure liabilities in order to reduce identified risks.

Conclusion

The integration of FRM into debt arranging and capital structuring is no longer optional; it is a must for modern businesses. By embracing FRM, organizations can balance the leverage optimally, ensure the efficient management of risks, and align financial strategies with wider economic objectives.

The real lesson is that debt arranging and capital structuring must go hand in hand with FRM; together, they provide a sound foundation for financial strategies to make one's company more competitive and able to face the challenge of uncertainty, as well as grab emerging opportunities.

For more information, please contact Mr. Muadh Alhusaini, Partner at Ehata Financial, *muadh.alhusaini@ehata.com.sa* . You can also read the article from the website here.

ABOUT EHATA

Ehata Financial is a specialized debt and financial risk management firm. Our distinguished services aim to revolutionize the way organizations navigate the complex world of debt and derivatives markets. We are a Capital Market Authority (CMA) licensed firm No. (20-17183) that offer a wide range of advisory and arranging services supported by our proprietary technology. With a team of independent practitioners, we possess a comprehensive skill set across debt, Islamic finance, interest rates, foreign exchange, commodities, and derivatives markets.

DISCLAIMER

Ehata Financial Company is authorized and regulated by the Capital Market Authority (license no. 17183-20). Ehata Financial Company may only undertake the financial services activities that fall within the scope of its existing CMA license. Principal place of business in Saudi Arabia: King Fahad Rd, Avenue Building, 5th Floor, POO BOX 241106, Riyadh 11322. The information contained in this article was prepared by Ehata Financial Company. Though the information herein is believed to be reliable, Ehata Financial Company makes no representation as to its accuracy or completeness. You should not rely solely on this communication when making a decision whether or not to enter into a derivatives transaction. If you are not an experienced user of derivatives, if you are not capable of making independent trading decisions and you do not understand the terms and risks related to derivatives transactions, you should refrain from entering into such transactions. You should consult your own legal, tax and accounting advisers with respect to any proposed derivatives transaction. Under no circumstances shall we or any of our associates or any of our associates' respective directors, officers, employees or agents, be liable for indirect or consequential losses including any failure to realize any profit, advantage or opportunity relating to the transaction or otherwise. The information contained in this article should not be reproduced in any form without the express consent of Ehata Financial Company. The information contained in this article from the sender shall not be considered as advice or solicitation to buy or sell any securities.